

PATENT APPLICATION Docket No. 8750-015 Client No. SPX200108-0008US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	Kyu-Nam Lim	Conf. No.	7534
Serial No.	10/075,472	Examiner:	Nguyen, Viet Q.
Filed:	February 13, 2002	Art Unit:	2818
For:	SEMICONDUCTOR MEMORY DEVICE AND DATA READ METHOD THEREOF		

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced application are the following:

\boxtimes	Applicant's Comments on Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
	Publication and Issue Fee
\boxtimes	In connection with issuance of a patent:
	Supplemental Declaration PTO Form 85B
\boxtimes	PTO Form 2038 authorizing credit card payment of \$1630.00, issue fee
	(\$1330.00) and publication fee (\$300.00) is enclosed.
\boxtimes	Any deficiency or overpayment should be charged or credited to deposit account number 13-1703.

Customer No. 20575

Respectfully submitted,

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.

Alan T. McCollom Reg. No. 28,881

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C. 1030 SW Morrison Street Portland, OR 97205 503-222-3613

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Date: anterv 20, 2004

Adrienne Chocholak

The PTO did not receive the following listed item(s) Credit (m) of fulment 1016 770. OUT we did Receiveb/630-00



PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No. 8750-015
Client No. SPX200108-008US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Kyu-Nam Lim Conf. No. 7534

Serial No. 10/075,472 Examiner: Nguyen, Viet Q.

Filed: February 13, 2002 Art Unit: 2818

For: SEMICONDUCTOR MEMORY DEVICE AND DATA

READ METHOD THEREOF

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICANT'S COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The applicant thanks the Examiner for allowing all of the pending claims over the prior art. In discussing the allowed subject matter, the Examiner has recited a feature present in independent claim 1, and stated that the claimed invention was not taught by the prior art.

The applicant would like to note that it is a well known tenet of patent law that patent claims are read as a whole, rather than phrase by phrase or element by element. Therefore, the scope of the allowed claims is not necessarily limited to only the claim elements that the Examiner reproduced, but rather, to the language of the claims themselves, in their entirety.

In addition, the applicants note that there are three independent claims allowed in this case, as well as dependent claims that depend from some of the allowed independent claims. Each of these allowed claims includes particular features and particular claim language, and therefore each may have a scope different from the others allowed in this case.

Customer No. 20575

Respectfully submitted,

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.

Alan T. McCollom Reg. No. 28,881

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C. 1030 SW Morrison Street Portland, OR 97205 503-222-3613

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Date: James 20, 2004

Adrienne Chocholak